U.S. Appln. Serial No. 09/770,229 Atty. Docket No. 034103.005

## REMARKS

Claim 12 was rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Nicholson et al (US Patent 5,713,979) and Hirakawa et al (US Patent 5,679,125), each taken alone. This ground of rejection is respectfully traversed. The claims have been amended to recite a manufacturing technique for the claimed product that is different than taught by the prior art. In claim 12, the temperature range has been limited to a range not taught by the references. The remaining claims depend from and further limit claim 12. The dependent claims correspond roughly to the limitations found in claims 2-8 of US Patent 6,701,752.

If any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17 that are due in connection with this filing, are missing or inadequate please charge the fees to Deposit Account No. 02-4300; Order No. 034103.008DIV2.

If any extension of time required is not accounted for in the papers filed herewith, such an extension is requested and the extension fee should be charged to Deposit Account No. 02-4300; Order No. 034103.008DIV2.

Respectfully submitted,

SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP

By:

Glenn J. Perry, Reg. No. 28,458 1850 M Street, NW – Suite 800

Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: 202/973-2611 Facsimile: 202/263-4311

Date: May 4, 2005

GJP:nlh